

# CFTF Meeting


## Q1 Address

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Responses	
Name	100.00%	21
Company	100.00%	21
Address	0.00%	0
Address 2	0.00%	0
City/Town	100.00%	21
State/Province	0.00%	0
ZIP/Postal Code	0.00%	0
Country	0.00%	0
Email Address	100.00%	21
Phone Number	100.00%	21

## Q2 I plan to attend the meeting on April 15th in Missoula


Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	95.24%	20
No	4.76%	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>21</b>

### Q3 Which of these options best characterizes your role in the basin?


Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Business or for profit company	4.76% 1
Conservation district	9.52% 2
Irrigation district	0.00% 0
Land owner	0.00% 0
Non-profit organization (e.g. various citizen groups)	38.10% 8
State, Federal, or Tribal agency personnel	19.05% 4
Water rights holder	4.76% 1
Water Quality District	4.76% 1
Other (Describe)	19.05% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

### Q4 Where do you work in relation to the Clark Fork Basin?


Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Throughout the entire Clark Fork Basin	28.57% 6
A sub watershed within the basin	23.81% 5
A specific place within the basin	9.52% 2
A larger region that includes the Clark Fork Basin	14.29% 3
Other (Describe):	23.81% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

**Q5 What topics would you most like a basin-wide coordinating group to address: (check all that apply)**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Agriculture/Irrigation	52.38% 11
Channel migration zone mapping and management	23.81% 5
Drought resilience strategy	61.90% 13
Education and outreach	57.14% 12
Economic and community development	38.10% 8

## CFTF Meeting

Fisheries	28.57%	6
Flooding/Floodplains	28.57%	6
Land use planning	28.57%	6
Water quality	57.14%	12
Watershed restoration and conservation	57.14%	12
Wildlife	23.81%	5
Water storage-natural and artificial	47.62%	10
Other (please specify):	57.14%	12
<b>Total Respondents: 21</b>		

**Q6 Please describe the potential strengths  
of a basin-wide coordinating group?**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0

**Q7 Please describe the potential weaknesses of a basin-wide coordinating group?**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




**Q8 In the best-case scenario, what do you think a basin-wide coordinating group could accomplish in the next 5 years?**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0

### Q9 What functions would you like to have a basin-wide coordinating group perform? (Check all that apply)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Advocacy for specific issues in areas of interest to all members	4.76% 1
Assistance with watershed planning	4.76% 1
Collaborative grant writing	0.00% 0
Coordinating work within the basin	0.00% 0
Gathering and sharing information on topics of interest	4.76% 1
Implementation of specific projects	0.00% 0

## CFTF Meeting

Joint fundraising for projects	0.00%	0
Joint fundraising for coordination of the Clark Fork Basin activities	0.00%	0
Networking	9.52%	2
Organizational capacity building	0.00%	0
Public education & outreach	0.00%	0
Other functions (please specify):	76.19%	16
<b>Total</b>		<b>21</b>

**Q10 What are the challenges that may interfere with the success of a basin-wide coordinating group?**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0

**Q11 What are the potential opportunities for a basin-wide coordinating group?**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0

**Q12 If you have any general comments about your interest in or concerns about participation in a basin-wide coordinating entity, please share here.**

Answered: 9 Skipped: 12